BUCKSLIP

To: Herbert A. Tessler
From: Emil S. Gallik
Copy: Messrs. L. Feld, H. Levy, R. Monti, F. Werneke
Date: February 16, 1968

The trip to the "Associated Testing Lab" in Caldwell, New Jersey, yesterday, to witness the testing of "Cafco" spray-on fireproofing intended for use by Alcoa as a fireproofing agent for the exterior columns, was a complete waste of time.

Even though I had been assured by the people conducting the test that all was in readiness and that at 11:00 a.m. the testing of the specimens would be conducted - nothing was ready when we arrived.

Even if the test had been ready for conducting, it still would have been a waste of time, for what Dutch Werneke, Chuck Wolff and I would have witnessed was merely the spraying of two (2) 8 x 8" steel plates (prime coated) with two inches of "Cafco" which had been placed within a testing chamber vault. After spraying had been completed, the door of the chamber would have been closed and sealed so that a controlled temperature environment range could be maintained.

The testing chamber had a port hole which would enable one to visually check the specimens during the testing program, however, visibility through the port holes was extremely poor.

Even if the testing of the specimens had been made while we were there, it still would have been questionable as to what we were really evaluating. Control testing two (2) 8" x 8" specimens, is far less conclusive, in my opinion, than having an unprotected 10 or 15 foot long steel WF section placed upright somewhere at the WTC Site and sprayed with the "Cafco" spray-on fireproofing agent. Then let nature take its course. To me the results would be far more realistic. Mr. Werneke, and Mr. Wolff concurred.

E. S. G.

/el

A-B-C-F
1-4-5F-5G
5K-6-7-8-9
10B-10C-II 1-II 2
II 3-II 4

(19)

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT PX-483